

## **STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Initially, Applicant wishes to thank Examiners Stronczer and Pendelton for conducting an interview with Applicant's representative, Benjamin A. Keim, on May 8, 2008.

During the interview, Applicant's representative presented arguments distinguishing the claims from the cited references. In particular, Applicant's representative presented arguments along the lines of those set forth below in the section entitled "Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. §102." Specifically, Applicant's representative presented arguments as to how the subject matter in the references fails to disclose features, such as discarding updated metadata.

Applicant's representative understood the Examiners to agree that the claim 9 is not anticipated by Kaars and that claim 13 is not anticipated by Barker. Applicant's representative also understood the Examiners to agree that the proposed amendments to independent claims 1 and 25 overcome the outstanding rejections.

Applicant's representative and the Examiners also discussed proposed amendments to the claims. In the interest of expediting prosecution of the application, and without conceding the propriety of the rejections, Applicant proposes to amend claims as presented during the interview.

The Examiners have indicated that an updated search would be needed, and request that the proposed amendments be presented in a formal response. Applicant submits the amendments in writing in this Response to the Office Action.

Applicant believes that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance.